UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,550	09/19/2003	Seung June Yi	2101-3052	4285
155884 7590 09/23/2009 LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & WAIMEY 660 S. FIGUEROA STREET Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			EXAMINER	
			GEORGEWILL, OPIRIBO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@lhlaw.com ip.lhlaw@gmail.com ip.lhlaw@live.com

Interview Summary	10/664,550 YI ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	e] N/A. o if an agreement was 7. The limitations were recond identifier is an MBM the current rejection of clair.				
	OPIRIBO GEORGEWILL	2617				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>OPIRIBO GEORGEWILL</u> .	(3) <u>Jeffrey J. Lotspeich</u> .					
(2) <u>Lun-Yi Lao</u> .	(4) <u>In Jae Lim</u> .					
Date of Interview: <u>9/16/2009</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>77</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Beakmann et al., (2003/0035423)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: New limitations were proposed to be added to claim 77. The limitations were "wherein the second identifier is used to distinguish between MBMS" and "wherein the second identifier is an MBMS (multimedia broadcast/multicast service) identifier". The limitation appear to overcome the current rejection of claim 77. The examiner needs to perform further search. Applicant response is awaited. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/OPIRIBO GEORGEWILL/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/LUN-YI LAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2617				

Application No.

Applicant(s)